1	MR. KNIGHT: Not finally, but for tonight certainly.
2	THE COURT: All right. Would ask you to step down.
3	You are subject to being recalled, but you're free to leave
4	this evening.
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Would ask you not to discuss your
7	testimony with any other witness who's yet to be called. Eut
8	you may step down and be excused.
9	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
10	THE COURT: State may call its next witness.
3.3	MR. CRANE: Dr. Eddie Adelstein.
12	THE COURT: Would you raise your right hand, sir.
13	- <u>-</u> -
14	EDWARD ADELSTEIN,
15	being first duly sworn by the Court, testified as follows:
16	THE COURT: Would you take the witness stand,
17	please.
18	
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. CRANE:
21	Q. Good evening. Give us your name, sir.
22	A. Edward Adelstein.
23	Q. And what's your occupation?
24	A. I'm a pathologist and physician.
25	Q. Specifically, what's your one of the positions

1 | They didn't run together. They were separate strikes.

Q. So you believe that there were seven separate blows struck.

MR. ROGERS: Excuse me. 11.

- Q. I'm sorry. Il separate blows struck. And you're taking out the scrapes.
- A. I'm taking out the scrapes, yes, which probably are just an abrasion, maybe; not blows. But I'm counting the other ones.
- Q. Now, during the autopsy, did you also conduct a subscapular examination? And tell us what that means.
- A. One of the things we do when we -- after we make these observations, we then make an incision and we peel back the skin. We look underneath the skin. And what we saw was diffuse subscapular hemorrhage. Just like if you would have bumped your head many, many times. Only there was diffuse hemorrhage. Because every one of those strikes would cause some bleeding under the skin.
- Q. Yeah. That's what I was going to say. Hemorrhage means what?
 - A. Hemorrhage means blood.
 - Q. Okay. So there was bleeding under the skin.
 - A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

8

10

יר רי ב. ב

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Now, what about the skull, though?
- A. There were no fractures of the skull.

- Q. All right. So Mr. Heitholt, between his skin and his skull, there was hemorrhaging, subscapular, under the skin.
 - A. That's correct.

2 2

- Q. However, his skull was not fractured.
- A. That is correct.
- Q. In your opinion, Doctor, were the injuries inflicted by the blunt trauma fatal to the victim?
- A. That is not actually an easy question to answer.

 More than likely they were not fatal at the time to cause immediate death. As I said before, a single injury to the head can produce unconsciousness, and sometimes later on the brain can swell up and produce death. But at this time when I examined him, there was no evidence of injury to his brain. So, it may have been that later, if he -- if this was the extent of his injuries, the total extent of his injuries, it would have been difficult to determine if he would have lived or died.
- Q. Okay. And also, probably another difficult question: What is your opinion as to the effect these injuries being inflicted on him -- and I'm just talking about the head injuries at this point.
 - A. Right.
- Q. -- would have had to Mr. Heitholt from the time they started, through their duration.

THE WITNESS: The strap would not make close contact.

THE COURT: Our court reporter has laryngitis now -THE WITNESS: And I will start --

THE COURT: -- so you need to speak just a little bit louder, if you don't mind.

б

- Q. Looking at 95, have you depicted the injuries there for the jury to consider?
- A. Right. You can see the abrasions that went around the neck here. There was an outline here of a fairly solid object here, which is depicted I think in this picture, which matches identically to a fragment of a belt that was found at the scene.
- Q. What did you note underneath the skin of the victim's neck?
- A. In this area, there is a profuse hemorrhage under this area. That is, blood cells that leak out.
 - Q. What about any fractures at the neck?
- A. We actually, to examine this, and we actually remove the entire air box or the larynx, so we're able to look at the larynx itself, which is what we would call like our throat box. And we noted there was some broken cartilages that extend out from this larynx, which make contact with the hyoid bone, which allows us to eat and breathe and separate the air from the fluid. And we noted that the hyoid bone was

broken. And that the arytenoid cartilages, which are fairly delicate extensions that make contact with the hyoid bone, were also broken.

- Q. What's all that mean? You've got the abrasions to the neck. Oh. Let me real quick, before you answer that, let me show you State's Exhibit 47 and ask if this was the item --
 - A. That is.

Ī

.7

- Q. -- that you compared to the victim's injury on the neck.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And did you find that item to be consistent with that horseshoe-shaped injury?
- A I did
- MR. CRANE: And I'm showing the jury and Dr. Adelstein State's Exhibit 47.
- Q. Okay. Now, what -- let me ask you now, what are the neck injuries consistent with?
- A. The neck injuries are consistent with the kind of pressure that will cause someone to die from asphyxiation.

 That is, these kind of pressure around the neck does a couple of things. It compresses the artery and vein that goes up to the head, which is life giving. And when you compress -- initially when you put a ligature around someone's neck, when you first put it around, it compresses the veins, the large

īί

Q. Doctor, based on your observations at autopsy, the injuries that you observed, the presence of petechiae, what is your opinion to a reasonable degree of medical certainty as to the cause of Kent Heitholt's death?

A. Easically -- and I'll read from my opinion. The cause of death of Kent Heitholt is asphyxia, due to compression of his neck by strangulation.

- O. Okay. And is the belt, that we don't have, the strap, and you've already indicated the belt buckle is a consistent cause of the injury to the right side of the neck. Specifically the ligature.
 - A. Consistent with that ligature.
- Q. And you specifically stated in the autopsy report you just read that it was asphyxia due to compression of the neck by strangulation?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Mr. Heitholt then, in your opinion, did not die as a result of the blunt trauma to his head.
- A. No. Not -- not -- no. The thing that actually took his life away was actually strangulation.
- Q. And you ruled that as the cause of death. What did you rule as the manner of Kent Heitholt's death?
 - A. I ruled the manner of death was a homicide.
- MR. CRANE: I don't think I have any other questions of this witness.